

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT'S
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gev

			- Pro-Be v		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,486	06/29/2001	SUNETRA K. MENDIS	VISA-54	9201	
	590 08/12/2003				
GEORGE O. 28 DAVIS AV	SAILE & ASSOCIATES	3	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
POUGHKEEP	SIE, NY 12603		PYO, KEVIN K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	:	Application No.	American de la companya de la compan						
			Applicant(s)						
	· Office Action Summary	09/896,486 Examiner	MENDIS ET AL.						
./			Art Unit						
/	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	2878						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	2a) This artists to continuous autom(s) filed on								
	ZD) This	action is non-final.							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
	8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or clostics								
1 Production april									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to but he form									
The first that any objection to the drowing (a) to the drowing (b)									
IS: A) Approved b) diagrams									
The state of the s									
The path or declaration is objected to by the Examiner									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 1.								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
The state of dollars in the state of the sta									
2) L	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO	-413) Danas No. (1)						
3) [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	House of Informal Patent	Application (PTO-15)	· 2)					
I.S. Pat	ent and Trademark Office	6) Other:							
. 5-0	TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Summary								

Art Unit: 2878

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to an apparatus for testing functionality, evaluating performance and measuring capacitance of a photo-conversion device of an array of active pixel sensors, classified in class 250, subclass 208.1.
- II. Claim 23, drawn to a method, classified in class 250, subclass 214R.
- III. Claim 24, drawn to a method, classified in class 250, subclass 214R.
- IV. Claim 25, drawn to a method, classified in class 250, subclass 214R.
- V. Claims 26, drawn to a method, classified in class 250, subclass 208.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus of Invention I as claimed does not have to be operated in the manner illustrated by the method of invention II and can be used to practice another and materially different process as shown by Inventions III, IV or V. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus of Invention I as claimed does not have to be operated in the manner illustrated by the method of invention III and can be used to practice another and materially different process as shown by Inventions II, IV or V. Inventions I and IV are related as process

Art Unit: 2878

and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of Invention I as claimed does not have to be operated in the manner illustrated by the method of invention IV and can be used to practice another and materially different process as shown by Inventions II, III or V. Inventions I and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus of Invention I as claimed does not have to be operated in the manner illustrated by the method of invention V and can be used to practice another and materially different process as shown by Inventions II, III or IV.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If Invention I is elected, applicant is <u>further</u> required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 2878

. I.a the details of a fist type of a test voltage selection circuit (claims 2 and 13)

- I.b the details of a first type of a timing control circuit (claims 3 and 14)
- I.c the details of a second type of a timing control circuit (claims 4, 5, 7, 15 and 16)
- I.d the details of a second type of a test voltage selection circuit (claims 6, 8-11, and 17-22)

Currently, claims 1 and 12 are generic.

If Invention I.d is elected, applicant is <u>further</u> required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- I.d-1 the details of a first type of a timing control circuit (claims 8 and 19)
- I.d-2 the details of a second type of a timing control circuit (claims 6, 9, 10, 20-22)
- I.d-3 the details of a third type of a timing control circuit (claim 18).

Currently, claims 6 and 17 are generic.

If Invention V is elected, applicant is <u>further</u> required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- V.a the details of testing functionality (claim 27)
- V.b the details of evaluating performance (claim 28)
- V.c the details of determining average capacitance (claim 29)

Currently claim 26 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 2878

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Pyo whose telephone number is 703-308-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (with flexible hour), First Mon. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2878

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kevin Pyo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

pkk

August 11, 2003